CLAIMS

What is claimed is:

| 1 | 1. | A method for partitioning program modules, comprising: |
|----|----|--|
| 2 | | providing affinity weights among the modules; wherein a relationship |
| 3 | | between two modules constitutes an affinity weight for those two |
| 4 | | modules; |
| 5 | | based on the affinity weights among the modules, |
| 6 | | providing a weight threshold; and |
| 7 | | assigning a first module associated with an affinity weight that |
| 8 | | indicates the first module is most closely related to a second |
| 9 | | module; and |
| 10 | | qualifying affinity weights that are associated with the first module, by |
| 11 | | comparing these affinity weights to the weight threshold; and |
| 12 | | assigning, to the group, all modules that are associated with the affinity |
| 13 | | weights qualified in the qualifying step. |
| | | |
| 1 | 2. | The method of claim 1 wherein an affinity weight in the step of qualifying is |
| 2 | | qualified based on one or a combination of the following logical relationship with |
| 3 | | the weight threshold: equal to, greater than. |
| | | |
| 1 | 3. | The method of claim 1 further comprising the steps of: |
| 2 | | a) qualifying affinity weights that are associated with the modules assigned |
| 3 | | to the group by the step of assigning, by comparing these affinity |
| 4 | | weights to the threshold; and |

| 5 | | b) assigning, to the group, all modules associated with the affinity weights |
|----|----|---|
| 6 | | qualified in step a). |
| 1 | 4. | The method of claim 1 wherein an affinity weight for two modules of the program |
| 2 | | modules is provided based on one or more optimization opportunities between the |
| 3 | | two modules. |
| | _ | |
| 1 | 5. | The method of claim 1 wherein the relationship between the two modules is based |
| 2 | | on one or a combination of: |
| 3 | | a number of calls across the two modules; |
| 4 | | a possibility for in-lining a function in a module of the two modules; |
| 5 | | a characteristic of a call graph of functions in the two modules; |
| 6 | | a frequency of a global variable referenced in the two modules; |
| 7 | | a characteristic of a parameter passed between functions in the two |
| 8 | | modules; |
| 9 | | a possibility for de-virtualizing a virtual function in a module of the two |
| 10 | | modules; |
| 1 | 6. | The method of claim 1 wherein: |
| 2 | , | an affinity weight for two modules of the program modules is provided by |
| | | |
| 3 | | a formula $f_1w_1 + f_2w_2 + \dots f_kw_k$; |
| 4 | | each weight w_i is associated with a factor indicating a relationship between |

the two modules; and

each f_{i} is a weight percentage of the factor.

5

6

| 1 | 7. | The method of claim I wherein the weight threshold is calculated using a total |
|-----|-----|--|
| 2 | | value of the affinity weights among the modules. |
| 1 2 | 8. | The method of claim 7 wherein the weight threshold is calculated using further a percentage value. |
| | | |
| 1 | 9. | The method of claim 8 wherein the percentage value is derived from the capability |
| 2 | | of a compiler to handle a number of modules. |
| 1 | 10. | The method of claim 1 being implemented as program instructions embodied in a |
| 2 | | computer-readable medium. |
| 1 | 11. | A mothed for portitioning medulos, comunicina, |
| 1 | 11. | A method for partitioning modules, comprising: |
| 2 | | a) providing a weight threshold; |
| 3 | | b) determining if there are modules remained to be partitioned, |
| 4 | | if there is not, then stopping the method; |
| 5 | | else proceeding to step c); |
| 6 | | c) finding among the modules that have not been assigned to a group a |
| 7 | | module associated with the highest affinity weight among the |
| 8 | | affinity weights associated with the modules that have not been |
| 9 | | assigned to a group, and assigning this module to a new group; |
| 10 | | d) for each module in the new group created in step c) that has not been |
| 11 | | processed, |
| 12 | | identifying the each module as a first module; |

| 13 | | iterating through each module neighboring to the first |
|----|-----|---|
| 14 | | module; wherein a first module neighboring to a |
| 15 | | second module if the first module and the second |
| 16 | | module is related by an affinity weight; |
| 17 | | if the neighboring module has not been assigned to a |
| 18 | | group, and an affinity weight between the |
| 19 | | neighboring module and the first module is |
| 20 | | qualified based on the weight threshold, then |
| 21 | | assigning the neighboring module to the new |
| 22 | | group; and |
| 23 | | e) proceeding to step b). |
| | | |
| 1 | 12. | The method of claim 11 wherein the affinity weight between the neighboring |
| 2 | | module and the first module is further qualified based on one or a combination of |
| 3 | | the following logical relationship: lesser than, equal to, greater than. |
| | | |
| 1 | 13. | The method of claim 11 being implemented as program instructions embodied in a |
| 2 | | computer-readable medium. |
| | | |
| 1 | 14. | A method for providing an affinity weight threshold for use in partitioning |
| 2 | | program modules, comprising: |
| 3 | | providing a percentage value; |
| 4 | | providing affinity weights among the modules; |
| 5 | | providing a total value of the affinity weights; |

| 6 | | using the percentage value and the total value of the affinity weights to |
|----|-----|--|
| 7 | | provide a percentage of the total of the affinity weights; |
| 8 | | using the percentage of the total of the affinity weights and a sum weight to |
| 9 | | provide the affinity weight threshold; the sum weight being the sum |
| 10 | | of at least two affinity weights. |
| 1 | 15. | The method of claim 14 wherein the percentage value is tunable based on the |
| 2 | 10. | capacity of a compiler. |
| 1 | 16. | The method of claim 14 wherein the affinity weight threshold is provided when an |
| 2 | | affinity weight added to the sum weight causing the sum weight being one or a |
| 3 | | combination of: |
| 4 | | equal to the percentage of the total of the affinity weights; and |
| 5 | | greater than the percentage of the total of the affinity weights. |
| 1 | 17. | The method of claim 14 being implemented as program instructions stored in a |
| 2 | | computer-readable medium. |
| 1 | 18. | A method for providing an affinity weight between two modules for use in |
| 2 | | partitioning modules, comprising: |
| 3 | | determining k factors; k being an integer number; each factor representing |
| 4 | | a distinct relationship between the two modules; and |
| 5 | | providing a sum of fiwi as the affinity weight; the subscript i running k |
| 6 | | times; |
| 7 | | wherein |

| 8 | | each w_i is associated with a factor; |
|----|-----|---|
| 9 | | each fi is a weight factor of a factor; and |
| 10 | | a sum of f _i being equal to 100%. |
| | | |
| 1 | 19. | The method of claim 18 wherein the relationship between the two modules is |
| 2 | | based on one or a combination of: |
| 3 | | a number of calls across the two modules; |
| 4 | | a possibility for in-lining a function in a module of the two modules; |
| 5 | | a characteristic of a call graph of functions in the two modules; |
| 6 | | a frequency of a global variable referenced in the two modules; |
| 7 | | a characteristic of a parameter passed between functions in the two |
| 8 | | modules; |
| 9 | | a possibility for de-virtualizing a virtual function in a module of the two |
| 10 | | modules. |
| | | |
| 1 | 20. | A computer-readable medium embodying program instructions for performing a |
| 2 | | method for partitioning program modules, the method comprising: |
| 3 | | a) providing affinity weights among the modules; wherein a relationship |
| 4 | | between two modules constitutes an affinity weight for those two |
| 5 | | modules; |
| 6 | | b) based on the affinity weights among the modules, |
| 7 | | providing a weight threshold; and |
| 8 | | assigning a first module associated with an affinity weight that |
| 9 | | indicates the first module is most closely related to a second |
| 10 | | module: and |

| 11 | c) qualifying affinity weights that are associated with the first module, by |
|----|---|
| 12 | comparing these affinity weights to the weight threshold; and |
| 13 | d) assigning, to the group, all modules that are associated with the affinity |
| 14 | weights qualified in step c). |
| 15 | e) qualifying affinity weights that are associated with all modules assigned |
| 16 | to the group by step d), by comparing these affinity weights to the |
| 17 | threshold; and |
| 18 | f) assigning, to the group, all modules associated with the affinity weights |
| 19 | qualified in step e). |